



An Audit of Polypharmacy on a General Medical Ward

Newcastle Upon Tyne Teaching Hospitals

Authors:

- Savannah Haworth (Year 4 Medical Student)
- Claire Rivett (prescribing pharmacist)
- Dr Stephen Wiltshire (SpR)
- Dr Alexander Dyker (Consultant)
- Dr Simon Hill (Consultant)

With many thanks to the RVI pharmacy team and the Ward 30 staff

Introduction



- Sections on this page
- · Key Meteopla
- · Bust proctice
- Audimee Onlinitions Pumers and loace
- · pactoround
- helpsharmocy and Pages
- Pelvotormocy and Healthcore Systems
- Netvonormocy and multipopra hydeeliamota
- · The Fature for Polyphormous
- · Appendicus



The Audit Standard

"100% of patients who are over 65 and on 6 or more preadmission medications (PAMs) should have a structured medication review, or the need for a review, documented in their hospital notes."

NICE Guidance 5: Medicines Optimisation section 1.4.1³ and RPS Polypharmacy guidance ¹

What we wanted to know:

Is the standard met?

Scale of polypharmacy

Level of knowledge

Potentially Inappropriate Prescriptions

Methods

- Mixed methods approach
- Cross sectional
- Inclusion criteria
- Data collection: patient notes, eRecord & a patient interview
- Potentially Inappropriate Prescriptions
 - STOPP/START criteria⁴
 - Review with a prescribing pharmacist and specialist registrar- delphi type process

Who was included

29 patients on the ward

21 patients included

8 patients excluded:

- 5 patients < 65
- 1 patient >65 but <6 medications</p>
- 1 patient refused consent
- 1 patient severe dementia, non verbal

What we found

- Average older age: 81.1 years
- High levels of polypharmacy: 12.4 medications (range 6-24)
- Patients had very little knowledge about their medications
 - They knew on average 55% of their prescribed medications
- No patient had a structured medication review documented during their current admission which met the standards set out in NG5 1.4.1
- No patient had polypharmacy documented in their notes, or flagged as the indication for a review
- All patients had medicines reconciliation review upon admission

Potentially Inappropriate Prescriptions

- 57% of patients had one or more PIPs identified
- The most common potentially inappropriate prescription was Omeprazole with 4 incidences
 - Proton Pump Inhibitors were the 2nd most commonly prescribed medication (12 patients)

Patient Comments

- 48% of patients said that they feel, or have felt, like they are taking too many medications
- 24% of patients said that if they could, they would like to stop some of their medications
- 57% of patients said they would like to have a medication review whilst in hospital

Summary so far:

- Polypharmacy is not routinely addressed in medical inpatients.
- Patients are not receiving, or being flagged as needing, medication reviews for polypharmacy.
- Many patients had potentially inappropriate prescriptions.

So what ?

- Length of stay & 30 day readmission rates
 - Small sample size, underpowered to detect effects
- Larger studies have shown that there is an association between polypharmacy and negative real world outcomes
 - Increased adverse drug reactions⁵
 - Increased readmission rates⁶
 - Increased risk of mortality⁷
- Potentially Inappropriate Prescriptions
 - Hospital admissions⁸
 - A&E visits, Adverse reactions, Reduced QOL⁹

Recommendations

- Consider polypharmacy as an issue in secondary care
- Education to all staff groups
- Flag on IT system: link in to primary care
- Address barriers
- Data collection

References

- 1. The Royal Pharmaceutical Society. Polypharmacy: Getting Our Medicines Right. 2018. https://www.rpharms.com/recognition/setting-professionalstandards/polypharmacy-getting-our-medicines-right. (Accessed on 18/02/19)
- 2. World Health Organisation. The third WHO Global Patient Safety Challenge: Medication Without Harm 2019. <u>https://www.who.int/patientsafety/medication-safety/en/</u> (Accessed on 01/07/19)
- 3. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Medicines Optimisation: the safe and effective use of medicines to enable the best possible outcomes. NICE, 2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng5 (Accessed on 10.01.2019)
- 4. O'Mahoney D, et al. STOPP/START criteria for potentially inappropriate prescribing in older people: version 2. Age and Ageing. 2015;44(2):213-218. https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afu145 (Accessed on 19/02/19)
- 5. Ahmed, B., Nanji, K., Mujeeb, R. and Patel, M. (2014). Effects of Polypharmacy on Adverse Drug Reactions among Geriatric Outpatients at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi: A Prospective Cohort Study. PLoS ONE, 9(11), p.e112133.
- 6. Fabbietti, P., Di Stefano, G., Moresi, R., et. al (2017). Impact of potentially inappropriate medications and polypharmacy on 3-month readmission among older patients discharged from acute care hospital: a prospective study. Aging Clinical and Experimental Research, 30(8), pp.977-984.
- 7. Leelakanok, N., Holcombe, A., Lund, B., Gu, X. and Schweizer, M. (2017). Association between polypharmacy and death: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association, 57(6), pp.729-738.e10.
- 8. Pérez, T., Moriarty, F., Wallace, E., et. al. (2018). Prevalence of potentially inappropriate prescribing in older people in primary care and its association with hospital admission: longitudinal study. BMJ, 383, p.k4524.
- 9. Cahir, C., Bennett, K., Teljeur, C. and Fahey, T. (2013). Potentially inappropriate prescribing and adverse health outcomes in community dwelling older patients. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 77(1), pp.201-210.

Questions?